arXiv:1606.09377v1 [math.AG] 30 Jun 2016

A NOTE ON SPHERICAL FUNCTORS

CIARAN MEACHAN

Abstract. We provide a short and simple proof of the fact that a spherical functor between triangulated categories induces an autoequivalence.

INTRODUCTION

An exact functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ between triangulated categories with left adjoint L and right adjoint R is said to be *spherical* if the *cotwist* C, defined by the triangle

$$C \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\eta} RF,$$

is an autoequivalence of $\mathcal{A}$ which identifies the adjoints, that is, we have $R \simeq CL[1]$. Such functors are ubiquitous in algebraic geometry; particularly in the study of autoequivalences of the derived category since the *twist* T, defined by the triangle

$$FR \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to T,$$

is an autoequivalence of $\mathcal{B}$. The observation that spherical functors $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ give rise to interesting autoequivalences of the target category is well documented in the current literature; see [ST01, Rou06, Ann07, Add16, AL16, Kuz15, Seg16].

The aim of this brief note is to provide a short and simple proof of the fact that the twist associated to a spherical functor is an autoequivalence. Our method is heavily based on Anno's original approach in [Ann07]. A fundamental result of Johnstone [Joh02] allows us to bypass the spanning class argument in [Add16] and the necessity to work with DG-bimodules as in [AL16] never seems to present itself.

Conventions: Everything takes place in the 2-category of Fourier-Mukai kernels. That is, we implicitly assume that all our functors are Fourier-Mukai functors and that all maps between functors are induced by maps between their kernels. This ensures that all our cone constructions make sense; see [CW10] for more details.

Acknowledgements: I thank Michael Wemyss for several useful discussions which initiated this project. I am very grateful to Michael Wemyss and Arend Bayer for their encouragement, support and expert advice. Big thanks also go to Andreas Krug, Andreas Hochenegger and David Ploog for their helpful comments.

Supported by the EPSRC Doctoral Prize Research Fellowship Grant no. EP/K503034/1.

1. Preliminaries

Definition 1.1. If $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a functor with left adjoint L and right adjoint R then we distinguish the units and counits with subscripts as follows:

$$\eta_R : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to RF \qquad \epsilon_R : FR \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \qquad \eta_L : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to FL \qquad \epsilon_L : LF \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

If an argument only deals with one adjoint pair then we shall drop the subscripts.

Definition 1.2. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an exact functor between triangulated categories with left adjoint L and right adjoint R. With the running conventions, we define the *twist* T and *cotwist* C of F by the following exact triangles:

$$FR \xrightarrow{\epsilon_R} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_R} T \xrightarrow{\beta_R} FR[1] \qquad \text{and} \qquad C \xrightarrow{\delta_R} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\eta_R} RF \xrightarrow{\gamma_R} C[1].$$

Similarly, define the *dual twist* T' and *dual cotwist* C' of F by

$$T' \xrightarrow{\delta_L} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\eta_L} FL \xrightarrow{\gamma_L} T'[1] \qquad \text{and} \qquad LF \xrightarrow{\epsilon_L} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_L} C' \xrightarrow{\beta_L} LF[1].$$

Lemma 1.3. *T' is left adjoint to T and C' is left adjoint to C.*

Proof. See [ST01, Lemma 2.8] or [AL16, Propositions 5.4 & 5.5]. □

Lemma 1.4. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a functor with right adjoint R. Then we have the following natural isomorphisms: $TF \simeq FC[2]$, $RT \simeq CR[2]$,*

$$F \oplus TF[-1] \underset{\binom{\epsilon_R F}{F\gamma_R}}{\overset{(F\eta_R \ \beta_R F[-1])}{\rightleftarrows}} FRF \qquad \textit{and} \qquad R \oplus RT[-1] \underset{\binom{R\epsilon_R}{\gamma_R R}}{\overset{(\eta_R R \ R\beta_R[-1])}{\rightleftarrows}} RFR\,.$$

Similarly, if $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a functor with right adjoint L then we have the following natural isomorphisms: $C'L \simeq LT'[2]$, $FC' \simeq T'F[2]$,

$$F \oplus FC'[-1] \underset{\binom{F\epsilon_L}{\gamma_L F}}{\overset{(\eta_L F \ F\beta_L[-1])}{\rightleftarrows}} FLF \qquad \textit{and} \qquad L \oplus C'L[-1] \underset{\binom{\epsilon_L L}{L\gamma_L}}{\overset{(L\eta_L \ \beta_L L[-1])}{\rightleftarrows}} LFL\,.$$

Proof. These identities are standard, and all stem from the *triangular identities* associated to the adjoint pairs. For example, by [Mac71, Theorem IV.1.1(ii)] we know that the composition $R \xrightarrow{\eta_R R} RFR \xrightarrow{R\epsilon_R} R$ is the identity on R which provides a splitting and allows us to complete the following diagram



using the octahedral axiom to get a functorial isomorphism $RT[-1] \simeq CR[1]$. □

The following result is the key technical lemma which will allow us to easily deduce that the twist associated to a spherical functor is an autoequivalence.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a functor with a right adjoint R. If there is any natural isomorphism (not necessarily the unit of adjunction) between RF and the identity functor on $\mathcal{A}$ then the unit $\eta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\sim} RF$ of adjunction is an isomorphism. That is, F is fully faithful.*

Proof. This statement is the dual of [Joh02, Lemma 1.1.1] and we include the proof for completeness.

Recall that a monad for a category $\mathcal{C}$ consists of an endofunctor $T : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ together with natural transformations $\eta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to T$ and $\mu : T^2 \to T$ which render the following diagrams commutative:



Every adjunction $F \dashv R$ defines a monad $(RF, \eta, R\epsilon F)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, where η and ϵ are the unit and counit respectively. That is, the monad structure on RF is captured by the following diagrams:



Using the given isomorphism $RF \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$, we can transfer the monad structure on RF arising from the adjunction to a monad structure (η, μ) on $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$. In particular, we have a commutative diagram of the form:



and we see that μ is a one-sided inverse for η. But the set of natural transformations of the identity functor is a commutative monoid. Indeed, for natural transformations $\zeta, \xi : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, we have $\zeta_x \xi_x = \xi_x \zeta_x$ by the naturality of either ζ or ξ. Therefore, a one-sided inverse must be a two-sided inverse. That is, we must have $\eta \circ \mu \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ as well and we can deduce that η and μ are inverse isomorphisms.

Transferring back again, we see that the unit $\eta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\sim} RF$ is an isomorphism, which is equivalent to F being fully faithful. □

2. Spherical Functors

Definition 2.1. We say that a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ with left adjoint L and right adjoint R is *spherical* if the cotwist C is an autoequivalence of $\mathcal{A}$ which identifies the adjoints, that is, $R \simeq CL[1]$.

Remark 2.2. Notice that this definition is slightly different from the ones used in [Rou06, Ann07, AL16], since there a spherical functor is defined to be one where the cotwist C is an autoequivalence of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the natural composition

$$R \xrightarrow{R\eta_L} RFL \xrightarrow{\gamma_R L} CL[1]$$

is a functorial isomorphism. However, we shall see that *any* isomorphism $R \simeq CL[1]$ is sufficient for the associated twist to be an equivalence. Indeed, the observations in Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 below show that any isomorphism $R \simeq CL[1]$ implies that the natural composition $R \to RFL \to CL[1]$ is also an isomorphism; compare this with [KS15, Remark 3.20] and the fairly mild conditions therein.

Addington [Add16, §1.5] also works with any isomorphism $R \simeq CL[1]$, but his proof of the fact that the twist is an equivalence is different from ours.

Lemma 2.3. *Let F be a spherical functor. Then*

(i) $R \simeq LT[-1]$ *and* $L \simeq RT'[1]$*;*
(ii) $RFL \simeq L \oplus R \simeq LFR$*;*
(iii) $FR \dashv FL$ *and* $RF \dashv LF$*.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.4 we have $CR \simeq RT[-2]$ and any isomorphism $R \simeq CL[1]$ implies $RT[-2] \simeq CLT[-1]$. Putting these together, we get $CR \simeq CLT[-1]$ which implies $R \simeq LT[-1]$ since C is an equivalence. In other words, if the cotwist C is an equivalence which identifies the adjoints then the twist T, whether we know it is an equivalence or not, also identifies the adjoints.

Similarly, the basic identity $LT'[2] \simeq C'L$ from Lemma 1.4 shows that we have $RT'[1] \simeq CLT'[2] \simeq CC'L \simeq L$ since C is an equivalence by assumption.

Notice that we can combine the previous two identities with the isomorphisms $R \simeq CL[1]$ and $L \simeq C'R[-1]$ to get $LT[-1] \simeq CL[1]$ and $C'R[-1] \simeq RT'[1]$.

The standard identities $FC' \simeq T'F[2]$ and $LT'[2] \simeq C'L$ from Lemma 1.4 combine to give $LFC' \simeq C'LF$. Using the fact that C is an equivalence we see that this yields $CLF \simeq CLFC'C \simeq CC'LFC \simeq LFC$. (A similar argument shows that we also have $RFC' \simeq C'RF$.) In particular, we can combine this last identity with $R \simeq CL[1]$ to get $RFL \simeq CLFL[1] \simeq LFCL[1] \simeq LFR$. Moreover, the triangular identities for LFL imply $CLFL[1] \simeq CL[1] \oplus CC'L \simeq R \oplus L$.

Finally, we have $FL \dashv FR \simeq FCL[1] \dashv FC'R[-1] \simeq FL$ and a similar argument gives $LF \dashv RF \simeq CLF[1] \dashv RFC'[-1] \simeq C'RF[-1] \simeq LF$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Any isomorphism $R \xrightarrow{\sim} CL[1]$ ensures that the natural composition*

$$R \xrightarrow{R\eta_L} RFL \xrightarrow{\gamma_R L} CL[1] \quad \textit{is an isomorphism.}$$

Proof. Using the octahedral axiom, we have the following commutative diagrams of triangles:



Recall, either by [Nee01, Proposition 1.4.6] or [Hub08, Axiom D'], that the top left square in the left diagram gives rise to a triangle of the form:

$$Q \to R \oplus L \xrightarrow{(R\eta_L \ \eta_R L)} RFL \to Q[1].$$

To show that $(R\eta_L \ \eta_R L)$ is an isomorphism, we just need to construct an inverse. Using the identity $RFL \simeq LFR$ from Lemma 2.3(ii), the obvious candidate for an inverse is the matrix of counits $\binom{\epsilon_L R}{L\epsilon_R} : LFR \to R \oplus L$. That is, we want to show that the composition

$$R \oplus L \xrightarrow{(R\eta_L \ \eta_R L)} RFL \simeq LFR \xrightarrow{\binom{\epsilon_L R}{L\epsilon_R}} R \oplus L$$

is isomorphic to the identity on $R \oplus L$. More precisely, we will show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_L R \circ R\eta_L & \epsilon_L R \circ \eta_R L \\ L\epsilon_R \circ R\eta_L & L\epsilon_R \circ \eta_R L \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id}_R & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{id}_L \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the anti-diagonal entries we need the natural diagrams associated to CC'. Following [Ann07], we use the triangles $C \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to RF$ and $LF \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to C'$ to 'resolve' $CC'[1]$ in two different ways and thus construct a commutative diagram as illustrated on the right below:



The diagram on the left, involving four copies of RF, is clearly commutative and there is a natural map $R\eta_L F : RF \to RFLF$ which induces a map of diagrams. One of the triangular identities states that the composition $F\epsilon_L \circ \eta_L F : F \to FLF \to F$ is isomorphic to the identity on F and hence we have a commutative diagram of diagrams. Taking cones of the induced maps and using the octahedral axiom produces the following two commutative diagrams[1]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & RF & = & RF \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle R\eta_L F} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \wr} \\
LF & \xrightarrow{\eta_R LF} & RFLF & \xrightarrow{\gamma_R LF} & CLF[1] \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle R\gamma_L F} & & \downarrow \\
LF & \xrightarrow{\sim} & RT'F[1] & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccccc}
RF & \xrightarrow{\sim} & CLF[1] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle C\epsilon_L[1]} & & \downarrow \\
RF & \xrightarrow{\gamma_R} & C[1] & \xrightarrow{\delta_R[1]} & \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}[1] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle C\alpha_L[1]} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \wr} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & CC'[1] & = & CC'[1]
\end{array}$$

The anti-diagonal entries are zero since $L\epsilon_R \circ R\eta_L = 0 = \epsilon_L R \circ \eta_R L$. Indeed, we can observe that $\mathrm{Hom}(R, L) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{id}, LF)$ by adjunction [CW10, Section 3.1] and use the diagram on the left above, together with the fact that $RFLF$ splits, to see that the first composition is sent, under adjunction, to a map $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to LF$ which must factor

$$\mathrm{id} \xrightarrow{\eta_R} RF \xrightarrow{R\eta_L F} RFLF \xrightarrow{R\gamma_L F} RT'F[1] \simeq LF$$

through two consecutive maps in a triangle and hence must be zero. Similarly, the identities $R \simeq CL[1]$ and $L \simeq RT'[1]$ imply $\mathrm{Hom}(L, R) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(RT'[1], CL[1])$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(RT'F[1], C[1])$ by adjunction. Using the same diagram we see that the second composition is sent, under adjunction, to a map $RT'F[1] \to C[1]$ which must factor as

$$RT'F[1] \simeq LF \xrightarrow{\eta_R LF} RFLF \xrightarrow{\gamma_R LF} CLF[1] \xrightarrow{C\epsilon_L[1]} C[1]$$

which must also be zero.

Thus, it remains to show that the diagonal entries are the identity on R and L respectively. To see that the composition $\epsilon_L R \circ R\eta_L$ is the identity on R, consider the following commutative diagram:



[1]To see where these diagrams come from, the reader should draw the three by three cube of functors resolving $CC'[1]$ for themselves.

Using the triangular identity $\epsilon_L L \circ L\eta_L \simeq \mathrm{id}_L$, it follows that $\epsilon_L R \circ R\eta_L$ becomes the identity on $CL[1]$ under the isomorphism $R \simeq CL[1]$.

Similarly, to see that the composition $L\epsilon_R \circ \eta_R L$ is the identity on L, consider the following commutative diagram:



Using the triangular identity $R\epsilon_R \circ \eta_R R \simeq \mathrm{id}_R$, it follows that $L\epsilon_R \circ \eta_R L$ becomes the identity on $C'R[-1]$ under the isomorphism $L \simeq C'R[-1]$. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this article:

Theorem 2.5. *If $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a spherical functor then the corresponding twist functor T is an autoequivalence of $\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Following [Ann07], we use the triangles $FR \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to T$ and $T' \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to FL$ to 'resolve' $TT'[1]$ in two different ways and thus construct a commutative diagram as illustrated on the right below:



The diagram on the left, involving four copies of FL, is clearly commutative and there is a natural map $F\eta_R L : FL \to FRFL$ which induces a map of diagrams. One of the triangular identities states that the composition $\epsilon_R F \circ F\eta_R : F \to FRF \to F$ is isomorphic to the identity on F and hence we have a commutative diagram of diagrams. Taking cones of the induced maps and using the octahedral axiom,

together with Lemma 2.4, produces the following two commutative diagrams[2]:



In particular, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} TT'$. By Lemma 1.5, this implies that the unit $\eta_T : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} TT'$ of the adjunction $T' \dashv T$ is an isomorphism and hence $T' : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is fully faithful.

It remains to show that T' is an equivalence. By [Huy06, Lemma 1.50], it is enough to show that $\ker T = 0$. To see this, suppose $Tx = 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Then by Lemma 1.4 we have $CRx \simeq RTx[-2] = 0$ which implies $Rx = 0$ since the cotwist C is an autoequivalence by assumption. Now the defining triangle $FRx \to x \to Tx$ shows that $x = 0$ and so $\ker T = 0$ as required. Finally, we know that T is right adjoint to T' by Lemma 1.3 and so T must be an equivalence as well. □

Remark 2.6. Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.3 prove the directions (2) & (4) $\implies$ (1) and (2) & (4) $\implies$ (3) from [AL16, Theorem 1.1], respectively. A straightforward adaptation of these arguments show all the other two-out-of-four directions as well.

Corollary 2.7. *The left (or right) adjoint of a spherical functor F with twist T and cotwist C is a spherical functor with twist C^{-1} and cotwist T^{-1}.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the units and counits are exchanged under adjunction. That is, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}, RF) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(LF, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}) \; ; \; \eta_R \mapsto \epsilon_L$$
$$\mathrm{Hom}(FR, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}}, FL) \; ; \; \epsilon_R \mapsto \eta_L$$

Indeed, the adjunction $LF \dashv RF$ implies that the unit transforms as follows:



[2]As before, to see where these diagrams come from, the reader should draw the three by three cube of functors resolving $TT'[1]$ for themselves; it is very similar to the one for $CC'[1]$.

Similarly, we have $FR \dashv FL$ by Lemma 2.3(iii) which implies



where $\eta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to FLFR$ is the unit of the adjunction $FR \dashv FL$. (For the general fact that $\mathrm{Hom}(\Phi F, \Psi) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\Phi, \Psi L)$, we refer the reader to [CW10, Section 3.1].) Now, taking left adjoints of the defining triangles $FR \xrightarrow{\epsilon_R} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to T$ and $C \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\eta_R} RF$ gives $T^{-1} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\eta_L} FL$ and $LF \xrightarrow{\epsilon_L} \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to C^{-1}$. □

References

[Add16] Nicolas Addington. New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties. *Alg. Geom.*, 3(2):223–260, 2016. Also arXiv:1112.0487.

[AL16] Rina Anno and Timothy Logvinenko. Spherical DG-functors. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), to appear*, 2016. Also arXiv:1309.5035.

[Ann07] Rina Anno. Spherical functors. *Preprint arXiv:0711.4409v5*, 2007.

[CW10] Andrei Căldăraru and Simon Willerton. The Mukai pairing. I. A categorical approach. *New York J. Math.*, 16:61–98, 2010. Also arXiv:0707.2052.

[Hub08] Andrew Hubery. Notes on the octahedral axiom. 2008. Available at `https://www.math.uni-paderborn.de/~hubery/Octahedral.pdf`.

[Huy06] Daniel Huybrechts. *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.

[Joh02] Peter T. Johnstone. *Sketches of an elephant: a topos theory compendium. Vol. 1*, volume 43 of *Oxford Logic Guides*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.

[KS15] Andreas Krug and Pawel Sosna. Equivalences of equivariant derived categories. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 92(1):19–40, 2015. Also arXiv:1411.0824.

[Kuz15] Alexander Kuznetsov. Calabi–Yau and fractional Calabi–Yau categories. *Preprint arXiv:1509.07657*, 2015.

[Mac71] Saunders MacLane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5.

[Nee01] Amnon Neeman. *Triangulated categories*, volume 148 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.

[Rou06] Raphaël Rouquier. Categorification of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and braid groups. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, volume 406 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 137–167. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[Seg16] Ed Segal. All autoequivalences are spherical twists. *Preprint arXiv:1603.06717*, 2016.

[ST01] Paul Seidel and Richard Thomas. Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves. *Duke Math. J.*, 108(1):37–108, 2001.